

Published on Web 02/02/2007

Assignment of Individual Metal Redox States in a Metalloprotein by Crystallographic Refinement at Multiple X-ray Wavelengths

Oliver Einsle,*,† Susana L. A. Andrade,† Holger Dobbek,# Jacques Meyer,§ and Douglas C. Rees*,‡

Institut für Mikrobiologie und Genetik, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, 37077 Göttingen, Germany, Laboratorium Proteinkristallographie, Universität Bayreuth, 95440 Bayreuth, Germany, Biophysique Moléculaire et Cellulaire, DRDC, CEA-Grenoble, 38054 Grenoble, France, and Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering 114-96, HHMI, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125

Received October 23, 2006; E-mail: oeinsle@uni-goettingen.de; dcrees@caltech.edu

A mechanistic understanding of enzymatic and electron-transfer processes catalyzed by transition metal-containing proteins depends crucially on identification of the oxidation states of the participating redox centers. This information is typically obtained through various spectroscopic techniques, while X-ray crystallography provides the basic structural information but remains blind toward the subtle differences of one or two electrons that distinguish a reduced from an oxidized state. One spectroscopic method sensitive to oxidation state is X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS); although the position of an X-ray absorption edge depends primarily on the element and coordination environment, it is also sensitive to oxidation state, since oxidation will shift the absorption edge to higher energy, as each of the remaining electrons experiences an increased interaction with the unchanged nuclear charge. For example, the edge positions between ferrous and ferric iron in various compounds can shift by approximately +1 to +5 eV.¹⁻³ Because of spectral overlap, assignment of oxidation states to specific centers by XAS can be problematic for complex metalloproteins containing multiple copies of a given metal.

Absorption effects contribute to a wavelength dependence of the atomic scattering factor for X-rays, $f(\lambda)$, according to $f(\lambda) = f^0 + \Delta f'(\lambda) + i\Delta f''(\lambda)$ where $\Delta f'(\lambda)$ and $\Delta f''(\lambda)$, related by a Kramers-Kronig transformation,⁴ correspond to the respective real (dispersive) and imaginary (absorption) corrections to the scattering factor f^0 that would be observed in the absence of absorption effects. These wavelength-dependent effects are commonly referred to as "anomalous scattering", although it has been noted that there is nothing "anomalous" about this effect as it is indeed the normal state of affairs.⁴ In macromolecular crystallography, the wavelength dependence of the scattering factors is routinely used to identify substructures of scatterers for solving the phase problem and calculate electron density maps. The information contained in the detailed structure and position of the absorption edge is typically not utilized. As described by Wu et al., however, if data sets are collected at suitably spaced energies around the absorption edge, it is possible to refine values of $\Delta f'$ and $\Delta f''$ for the individual scatterers of the element in question and derive the X-ray absorption curve for each individual atom, thereby allowing the individual oxidation states to be deduced.⁵

The complex structure factor can be calculated as a summation over all individual atomic scattering factors f_m for m atoms in the crystal unit cell and expressed as an amplitude |F| and a phase angle α , or by its real and imaginary components A and B:

$$\vec{F}(\vec{h}) = \sum_{m} f_{m} \exp(2\pi \vec{r}_{m} \cdot \vec{h}) = |\vec{F}(\vec{h})| \exp(i\alpha(\vec{h}))$$
$$= |\vec{F}(\vec{h})| \cos \alpha(\vec{h}) + i|\vec{F}(\vec{h})| \sin \alpha(\vec{h}) = A(\vec{h}) + iB(\vec{h})$$

where \vec{r} and \vec{h} are positional vectors in real and reciprocal space, respectively.

The contributions of *n* absorbing ("heavy") atoms to the overall structure factor at a given wavelength, highlighting the effects of variations in $\Delta f'(\lambda)$ and $\Delta f''(\lambda)$, can be formally separated from the contributions of the nonabsorbing atoms in a protein:

$$\vec{F}(\vec{h},\lambda) = \left\{ \sum_{m} f_{m} \exp(2\pi i \vec{r}_{m} \cdot \vec{h}) \right\} + \left\{ \sum_{n} f(\lambda) \exp(2\pi i \vec{r}_{n} \cdot \vec{h}) \right\}$$

$$= A_{\text{prot}}(\vec{h}) + iB_{\text{prot}}(\vec{h}) + \sum_{n} (a_{n}(\vec{h}) + ib_{n}(\vec{h})) + \sum_{n} \frac{\Delta f'_{n}(\lambda)}{f_{n}^{0}} (a_{n}(\vec{h}) + ib_{n}(\vec{h})) + i\sum_{n} \frac{\Delta f'_{n}(\lambda)}{f_{n}^{0}} (a_{n}(\vec{h}) + ib_{n}(\vec{h}))$$

Denoting the phase of the wavelength-independent scattering by

$$\alpha(\vec{h}) = \tan^{-1}[(B_{\text{prot}}(\vec{h}) + b_n(\vec{h}))/(A_{\text{prot}}(\vec{h}) + a_n(\vec{h}))]$$

the respective anomalous and dispersive differences,

$$\Delta_{\text{ano}}(\vec{h},\lambda) = |\vec{F}(\vec{h},\lambda)| - |\vec{F}(-\vec{h},\lambda)|,$$

$$\Delta_{\text{disp}}(\vec{h},\lambda) = |\vec{F}(\vec{h},\lambda)| - |\vec{F}_{\text{calc}}(\vec{h})|$$

may be expressed as6

$$\Delta_{\text{ano}}(\vec{h},\lambda) = -2\sum_{n} \frac{\Delta f_{n}'(\lambda)}{f_{n}^{0}} (b_{n}(\vec{h}) \cos \alpha(\vec{h}) - a_{n}(\vec{h}) \sin \alpha(\vec{h}))$$
$$\Delta_{\text{disp}}(\vec{h},\lambda) = \sum_{n} \frac{\Delta f_{n}'(\lambda)}{f_{n}^{0}} (a_{n}(\vec{h}) \cos \alpha(\vec{h}) - b_{n}(\vec{h}) \sin \alpha(\vec{h}))$$

Since $\alpha(\vec{h})$, $a_n(\vec{h})$, and $b_n(\vec{h})$ can be calculated from the coordinates for a protein of known structure, the experimentally observed differences are linear functions of $\Delta f'_n(\lambda)$ and $\Delta f''_n(\lambda)$, which can then be obtained as the least-squares solution to the series of observational equations. The results of the procedure are individual values of $\Delta f'_n(\lambda)$ and $\Delta f''_n(\lambda)$ for each anomalous scatterer at the X-ray energy where the data were collected. Our analysis shows that while the relative magnitudes of the $\Delta f'_n(\lambda)$ values are rather dependent on the choice of the reference data set and are sensitive to occupancies and temperature factors of the refined structures, the $\Delta f''_n(\lambda)$ values are very robust.

[†] Universität Göttingen.

[‡] California Institute of Technology, HHMI.

[#] Universität Bayreuth. § CEA-Grenoble.



Figure 1. Structure of the ferredoxin Fd4 from A. aeolicus. The iron-sulfur cluster is coordinated by four thiolate sulfurs. Fe1 points toward the inside of the protein while Fe2 is located close to the protein surface, and Cys 55 and Cys 59 even form part of this surface, providing a probable electrontransfer site. The diagram shows the choice of nine wavelengths for data collection along the iron K-edge on a fluorescence scan of Fd4.

This method should be generally applicable to X-ray data collected at an absorption edge, and, in contrast to methods such as diffraction anomalous fine structure,⁷ it can be straightforwardly applied to crystals of large metalloproteins. To test the feasibility of the procedure, we have carried out measurements with the [2Fe: 2S] cluster containing ferredoxin Fd4 from Aquifex aeolicus.89 The iron atoms of the cluster are antiferromagnetically coupled, yielding spin states of S = 0 for the oxidized (Fe(III)/Fe(III)) and $S = \frac{1}{2}$ for the reduced (Fe(II)/Fe(III)) form, whereby the additional electron in the reduced state is localized to one of the metal centers (Figure 1).

Crystals of Fd4 were grown under anaerobic conditions in the presence of 2 mM sodium dithionite. They belong to space group C2 and contain two monomers per asymmetric unit, such that two independent [2Fe:2S] clusters are present and should yield identical results. Complete diffraction data sets were collected at nine energies along the iron K-edge (see Supporting Information). From an X-ray fluorescence scan, energies were chosen to comprise low and high-energy references (7100 and 7140 eV) as well as seven data sets around the edge (7114, 7116, 7118, 7120, 7122, 7124, and 7126 eV) (Figure 1). A model of Fd4, refined to a resolution of 1.8 Å against the data collected at 7140 eV, was used to calculate the real and imaginary parts, a and b, of structure factors for the light atoms (C, N, and O), all sulfur atoms, two cadmium ions from the crystallization buffer, and each of the four individual iron atoms within the asymmetric unit. Sulfur and cadmium showed a distinct anomalous scattering contribution but no edge feature and provided a useful internal standard.

A computer program was written for reading coordinates of the refined protein structure and prescaled reflection data to calculate structure factors and solve the linear least-squares problem. The resulting, refined $\Delta f''$ values showed an increase of anomalous signal in excellent accord with the fluorescence scan taken previously, while the sulfur and cadmium contributions remained stable (Figure 2). The equivalent iron atoms in the two protein monomers of the asymmetric unit of the crystal yielded virtually identical results, while the two iron atoms within the same cluster showed significant differences (Figure 2). The course of the $\Delta f''$ values is clearly shifted to lower energies for the iron atoms closer to the surface (Fe2 and Fe4), indicating a reduced Fe(II) state, while the iron atoms more buried within the protein (Fe1 and Fe3) show an edge at higher energies and are presumed to be in the Fe(III)



Figure 2. Refined values of $\Delta f''$ for Fd4. The asymmetric unit contains two monomers, and the iron sulfur clusters in monomers A (Fe1 (\diamondsuit) and Fe2 (\blacklozenge)) and B (Fe3 (\bigcirc)) and Fe4 (\blacklozenge)) show consistent differences between their iron atoms. A fluorescence scan taken from the same crystal before data collection is shown in gray. All sulfur (\triangle) and cadmium (∇) atoms in the structure were each refined as a single anomalous species; the refined values of ~4.4 and 0.5 electrons may be compared to tabulated values of 5.7 and 0.7 e⁻, respectively.⁴ The insert shows the refined $\Delta f'$ values, where Fe2 and Fe4 yield a curve with two minima.

state. The finding that the iron atom closer to the surface is the one that accepts and releases an electron is consistent with previous inferences.^{8,9} We further note that the Fe(III) ions even seem to reflect the XAS preedge feature at 7114 eV that is present in the oxidized state only.

The assignment of redox states in metal clusters promises to be a robust and versatile tool to examine the electronic structure of these moieties. While further optimizations for issues such as the minimal resolution and amount of data required and photoreduction effects in oxidized samples will be necessary, the method should be generally applicable to complex metalloproteins such as nitrogenase, carbon monoxide dehydrogenase, or multiheme c cytochromes. The information gained from the position and shape of the absorption edge for individual metals will provide an experimental assessment of the oxidation states and should serve to both guide and validate theoretical calculations.

Acknowledgment. Diffraction data were collected at SSRL, Stanford, and EMBL/DESY, Hamburg, Germany. This work was supported in part by EMBO YIP (O.E.), DFG (O.E., S.L.A.A.), CNRS and UJF (J.M.), and by NIH Grant GM45162 (D.C.R.).

Supporting Information Available: X-ray diffraction data statistics. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// pubs.acs.org.

References

- Shulman, R. G.; Yafet, Y.; Eisenberger, P.; Blumberg, W. E. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1976, 73, 1384–1388.
 George, G. N.; Pickering, I. J.; Prince, R. C.; Zhou, Z. H.; Adams, M. W. W. J. Biol. Inorg. Chem. 1996, 1, 226–230.
- (3) Musgrave, K. B.; Angove, H. C.; Burgess, B. K.; Hedman, B.; Hodgson, K. O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 5325-5326.
- (4) Creagh, D. C.; McAuley, W. J. In International Tables for Crystallography; Wilson, A. J. C., Ed.; Kluwer Academic Publishers: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1992; Vol. C, pp 206-222.
- Wu, G.; Zhang, Y.; Ribaud, L.; Coppens, P.; Wilson, C.; Iversen, B. B.; Larsen, F. K. *Inorg. Chem.* **1998**, *37*, 6078–6083.
- (6) Drenth, J. Principles of Protein X-ray Crystallography; Springer: New York, 1994
- Sorensen, L. B.; Cross, J. O.; Newville, M.; Ravel, B.; Rehr, J. J.; Straiger, H.; Bouldin, C. E.; Woicik, J. C. In *Resonant Anomalous X-Ray Scattering: Theory and Applications*; Materlik, G., Sparks, C. J., Fischer, K., Eds.; North-Holland: The Netherlands, 1994; pp 389–420.
- (8) Yeh, A. P.; Chatelet, C.; Soltis, S. M.; Kuhn, P.; Meyer, J.; Rees, D. C. I. Mol. Biol. 2000, 300, 587-595
- Yeh, A. P.; Ambroggio, X. I.; Andrade, S. L. A.; Einsle, O.; Chatelet, C.; Meyer, J.; Rees, D. C. J. Biol. Chem. 2002, 237, 34499-34507.

JA067562O